

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/733,351

Applicant(s)

MONS, CLAUDE

Examiner

Jessica L. Ward

Art Unit

1733

All Participants:(1) Jessica L. Ward.(2) Mr. Stepina.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 April 2007**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant agreed to insert --metal-- before "article" in the preamble of claims 1 and 11 to clarify that both the substrate and article are metal. Applicant agreed to amend the specification at p. 2, lines 33-36, to state --providing a flexible sheet derived from at least one plate obtained by the technique of casting a strip by silkscreen printing from a viscous material comprising a metallic brazing binder and a metal powder including a superalloy;-- because the amendment to this portion of the specification, which was filed on 1/22/07, raised 112 1st and 2nd paragraph issues because it implied that the "binder" and "brazing binder" were two separate binders. However, one reading the specification would clearly understand that the "binder" is the "brazing binder." Support for this amendment is found on p. 3, lines 12-17, of the specification.